



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

NER
320.56
on 1

Vol.47, No.37

September 23rd, 2011

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "The true significance of what is going on has been concealed by the use of the abstraction Man... In the older systems both the kind of man the teachers wished to produce and their motives for producing him were prescribed by the Tao (Natural Law) a norm to which the teachers themselves were subject and from which they claimed no liberty to depart. They did not cut men to some pattern they had chosen. They handed on what they had received: they initiated the young neophyte into the mystery of humanity which over-arched him and them alike."

— C.S. Lewis in *"The Abolition of Man"*, 1947

THE YINDJIBARNDI PEOPLE CANNOT LIVE BY MINING ALONE: Yindjibarndi Statement:

"Yindjibarndi people can not live by mining alone. In his push to mine our country, FMG's founder, Chairman, and largest shareholder, Twiggy Forrest, tells us that our future lies in getting a job with his company and giving over our country to his mines, but Twiggy's teaching about how we should be and how we should live does not compare to the teaching of our elders. We know that we cannot live by mining alone. We are finished if we cease to honour the heritage and Ngurra (country) passed on by our ancestors, which speaks to our inner needs and our very being as Yindjibarndi people. Mining will not dominate our country forever. The huge ore deposits will be exhausted within a few generations and when the minerals are all shipped overseas, mining will be worth nothing and all the money will amount to less than nothing for our future generations.

When the ground is hard, Yindjibarndi dance: For a year now, we Yindjibarndi people of the Pilbara have been suffering a split in our community engineered with terrible outcomes by the Fortescue Metals Group (FMG) who intend to mine Yindjibarndi country. Despite having Native Title to our homelands, and despite showing Australian courts and the Native Title Tribunal that the Yindjibarndi people – our culture, Law, language and wellbeing – are dependent upon our connection with our ancestral land and water, the never-ending pressure of Fortescue Metals Group continues to devastate our community and our children's futures. One bright light illuminates our struggle. Our wonderful Yindjibarndi Elder, Ned Mayinbunu Cheedy, who has for so long been a beacon of strength and a sharer of knowledge among us. His contribution was recognised nationally by the award of the most prestigious NAIDOC 2011 Lifetime Achievement Award. And for Ned, and all of our children, on Tuesday the 6th September, Yindjibarndi painted up and danced".

This is the hard ground. See the slide show of the celebration and dance:

<http://yindjibarndi.org.au/yindjibarndi/>

IN THIS ISSUE • *Thought For The Week* • *The Yindjibarndi People Cannot Live By Mining Alone* • *The Crass Materialists Of The West Just Don't 'Get It'* • *Wallace Klinck's Comments On Alex Jones' 'InfoWars'* • *Philosophy, The University And The Love Of Truth* • *What Labor Does Today No Government Will Dare Fix* • *The Social Pathology Of The Liberal, Multiculturalists*

THE CRASS MATERIALISTS OF THE WEST JUST DON'T 'GET IT' by Betty Luks: Slowly but surely, writers such as C.S. Lewis ("*The Abolition of Man*") Owen Barfield ("*History in English Words*") and "Saving the Appearances" and Prince Charles ("*Harmony*") are helping clear away the crass materialist fog from our minds and opening them to the real world in which we live and move and have our being. Thanks to Barfield's approach to the subject of human consciousness and "original participation" and "final participation", I have caught a glimpse of what the Yindjibarndi people mean by "our culture, Law, language and wellbeing – are dependent upon our connection with our ancestral land and water".

For those who haven't come across the concepts presented in those books, they could begin with Prince Charles' book as his introduction to the subjects are easier reading.

What the Yindjibarndi people probably didn't know was that the 'planners' never intended that they should have ultimate control of their ancestral lands. Former communist Geoff MacDonald 'spilled the beans' in his book "*Red Over Black*"* many years ago. The intent was to tie up masses of land under "land rights" claims - and Australians can now see why. These crass materialists do not genuinely care for the Aboriginal people of this land, but have and will make use of them when it suits them.

The Yindjibarndi media release continues: "Our fight against Twiggy Forrest and FMG is not just about our rights as the first people of this Ngurra, it is about doing right by our country and our descendants. We believe that if we keep body and soul together with our country and its unique Yindjibarndi Law and heritage, our Jalurra and language and teaching will be alive long after Mr Forrest and his FMG are gone and forgotten.

The Jalurra we danced for Mr Ned Cheedy in his 105th year, were about remembering and celebrating who we are and where we come from; honouring the knowledge and values of our old people; respecting the country that was and is before mining and money, and which runs deeper than mining, and gives us self respect and true identity".

* "*Red Over Black*" by Geoff MacDonald \$10.00 plus postage from Heritage Book Services.

WALLACE KLINCK'S COMMENTS ON ALEX JONES' 'InfoWars': Cornucopia says, September 13, 2011, at 11:07 pm: What must be realized is that the financial system is a confiscatory system designed to centralise both economic and political power in a way that weakens nations and drives them in desperation into larger and larger agglomerates, the European Union being a living and undeniable example.

The production system is financed by bank debt so as to create financial costs and prices at an increasingly greater rate than incomes are distributed in the same accounting cycle. The existing price-system in non-self-liquidating and under the present financial rules money is issued only as debt in order to compensate for this inherent deficiency of effective purchasing power. Increasing billions of dollars are issued as exponentially expanding consumer debt which is an inflationary charge recoverable from future production.

The monopoly held by the banking system over creation of the nation's money solely as debt must be broken.

The real or physical cost (the human and non-human energy and materials) of all goods has been fully met when they are completed and ready for sale to consumers. Moreover the real (i.e., physical) cost of production is decreasing continually. The billions of debt issued to consumers must be replaced by debt-free credit in the form of National (Consumer) Dividends and to effect falling retail prices (i.e., "Compensated Prices). There is no real need for consumer debt whatsoever and a realistic and honest system of financial cost accountancy would reflect this undeniable fact.

Our enormously Increasing efficiency of production technology should yield falling prices, increasing National Dividends supplementary to earned incomes and growing opportunity for leisure.

Fundamentally, the consumer is now being necessarily and rightly charged with capital depreciation but wrongfully and unnecessarily the consumer is NOT being credited with capital appreciation in order that retail prices properly reflect the reduction in real (i.e., physical) cost, actual total production being evermore greater than total consumption.

The banking monopoly of the issue of credit operates so as to create burgeoning and unsustainable debt in periods of economic expansion and wholesale foreclosure during the inevitable recurring deflationary periods of collapse due to excess debt. We are robbed of the purchasing power of effort alternatively by engineered inflation with oppressive debt accumulation and deflation accompanied by dispossession of our produced real wealth. We as a society are systematically robbed of prosperity, individual freedom and national independence through appropriation by the banking system of our magnificent Cultural Heritage which should give us increasing Abundance, Freedom and Leisure.

Watch: 'Financial Credit v Real Credit' by Jeremy Lee here: <http://www.alor.org/Media.html>

Listen: 'Releasing Reality' by Eric D. Butler here: <http://www.alor.org/Media.html>

PHILOSOPHY, THE UNIVERSITY AND THE LOVE OF TRUTH by Chris Knight: Philosopher Raimond Gaita ("Loving Truth is Not Enough", *The Australian*, August 17, 2011, p.28) asks philosophers "for an account of their role in the university that would observe to convince government officials, business people and ambitious parents that taxpayers should fund their salaries." For Gaita the concept of a university where philosophy defends democratic values, is defunct. He quotes a story of a philosopher who attempted to justify philosophy's existence in a university by saying that if philosophy was not taught then democracy would atrophy. No institution lacking a philosophy discipline deserved to be called a "university." An economic rationalist present replied: "Then we will call it something else."

Gaita, if I understand him correctly, sees this sort of response as an extrinsic defence of philosophy, where what should have been given is an intrinsic defence of philosophy, dealing with notions such as "love of truth" etc. Now Ray, do you really think any of that would cut ice with an economic rationalist concerned with the "love of money"? What the philosophers could have said is, "even though our discipline is not as politically correct as gay (queer) studies down the hall, feminist studies downstairs and multiculturalism upstairs, we are doing our bit to help destroy Western civilisation. Give us some more money and see what we can churn out!"

The contemporary academic discipline of philosophy is a disgrace and it is only fitting that it be eliminated by the economic rationalist razor gangs. Let the so-called philosophers lose their superannuation and do road work. "Is that ethical? Discuss."

WHAT LABOR DOES TODAY NO GOVERNMENT WILL DARE FIX: Source: Andrew Bolt's Blog – Friday, September 16, 11 (05:34 am) Henry Ergas says Labor is trying to make its tax forever, so no government can undo this mistake: It was Mark Dreyfus QC, Parliamentary Secretary for Climate Change, who let the cat out of the bag. Once the carbon change legislation is in place, he said, repeal would amount to an acquisition of property by the commonwealth, as holders of emissions permits would be deprived of a valuable asset. As a result, the commonwealth would be liable, under s.51(xxxi) of the Australian Constitution, to pay compensation, potentially in the billions of dollars. A future government would therefore find repeal prohibitively costly.

That consequence is anything but unintended. The clean energy legislation, released this week, specifically provides that "a carbon unit (its generic term for a right to emit) is personal property".

This, the government says, is needed to give certainty to long-term trades. But that claim makes little

This, the government says, is needed to give certainty to long-term trades. But that claim makes little sense, for even without such protections there are flourishing markets for fishing quotas and other tradeable entitlements.

And internationally, governments have generally ensured pollution permits are not treated as conventional property rights, precisely so as to be able to revise environmental controls as circumstances change. Rather, this provision serves one purpose only: to guarantee any attempt at repeal triggers constitutional requirements to pay compensation, shackling future governments.

THE SOCIAL PATHOLOGY OF THE LIBERAL, MULTIRACIAL STATE by James Reed:

It's been a long time since the Letters-to-the-Editor page of *The Australian* have permitted letters on the race/immigration issue. But consider these snips from August 11, 2011 on the London riots: "At the risk of being politically incorrect, is it possible that the current rioting in England is a result of embracing a multicultural society at a rate faster than the Anglo-Saxon community can cope with? If this is the case, are there lessons here for Australia?" And: "The vast feral, multicultural, self-centred and stupid underclass that has been carefully cultivated for decades by politicians, the human rights industry and a limp-wristed legal system has finally come home to roost in the UK. No doubt lessons will not be learned, either here or there." *The Australian* (August 12, 2011) had "Racial Tension Rising" but not much else.

Theodore Dalrymple, "British Rioters the Spawn of a Bankrupt Ruling Elite", *The Australian*, August 11, 2011, p.12, says that "a considerable proportion of the country's young population (a proportion that is declining) is ugly, aggressive, vicious, badly educated, uncouth and criminally inclined". Thus even though youth unemployment is very high in Britain, workers had to be brought in from Europe, mainly, Poles, as the young are unemployable, with a quarter of British children virtually illiterate. Worse: "Criminality is scarcely repressed any more in Britain. The last lord chief justice but two thought that burglary was a minor offense not worthy of imprisonment, and the next chief justice agreed with him. By the age of 12, an ordinary slum-dweller has learned he has nothing to fear from the law and the only people to fear are those who are stronger or more ruthless than he." Indeed, the Metropolitan Police were ordered to "stand and observe" during the first part of the race riot because of past accusations of "institutional racism." In other words much of the damage occurred because of political correctness. ("Cops Told to 'Stand and Observe'" *The Australian*, August 11, 2011, p.10)

Why should the Met be scared of the great "racism" accusation if the riots were non-white? No reference was made, of course to Enoch Powell's "rivers of blood" speech, "Like the Roman" made of April 20, 1968: "As I look ahead, I am filled with foreboding like the Roman, I seem to see the River Tiber foaming with much blood."

But instead we have David Aaronovitch, "Violent Young Men Will Always Walk Among Us" (*The Australian*, August 12, 2011, p.8) poo-pooing a "certain kind of ring-winger" who "fits the riots into the pattern of moral and social decline that he or she imagines has afflicted British society since the 1950s. Multiculturalism, soft policing, family breakdown (ie. sexual tolerance and feminism), liberal teaching, welfare dependency and immigration are all part of this elaborately imagined world." Pardon: an "imagined world"?

For Aaronovitch "difficult and violent young men will always be with us." Yet the same sorts of riots did not occur in racially homogenous Britain during the Great Depression and do not occur in racially homogenous societies, such as Japan, today. This view also ignores the relevance of gang culture in the riot areas. The Met ignored one of its own internal reports which warned that gang culture based on "acquisitive crime" was taking over areas such as Tottenham and Brixton.

Continued in *The Bulletin*

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.